

The 18th July, 1983

No. ID/FD/98-83/33320.—Whereas the Governor of Haryana is of the opinion that an Industrial dispute exists between the workmen Shri Ved Ram and the management of M/s Panwar Bhatta Co., V. Kurara Shapur, Tehsil, Palwal, District Faridabad, regarding the matter hereinafter appearing;—

And whereas the Governor of Haryana considers it desirable to refer the dispute for adjudication;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by clause (d) of sub-section (1) of section 10 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947, the Governor of Haryana hereby refers to the Industrial Tribunal, Haryana, Faridabad, constituted under section 7-A of the said Act, the matter(s) specified below, being either matter in dispute or matter(s) relevant to or connected with the dispute as between the said management and the workmen for adjudication:—

Whether the termination of service of Shri Ved Ram was justified and in order? If not to what relief is he entitled?

No. ID/YMN/87-83/33327.—Whereas the Governor of Haryana is of the opinion that an Industrial dispute exists between the workman Shri Jag Mohan Singh Rawat, and the management of M/s Tandoor Restaurant Yamuna Nagar regarding the matter hereinafter appearing:

And whereas the Governor of Haryana considers it desirable to refer the dispute for adjudication;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by clause (c) of sub-section (1) of section 10 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947, the Governor of Haryana hereby refers to the Labour Court, Faridabad constituted,—*vide* Government notification No. 11495-G-Lab/57/11245 dated 7th February, 1958 read with notification No. 5414-3Lab-68/15254, dated 20th June, 1968 under section 7 of the said Act, the matter specified below being either matter in dispute or matter relevant to or connected with the dispute as between the said management and workman for adjudication.

Whether the termination of service of Shri Jag Mohan Singh Rawat, was justified and in order? If not, to what relief is he entitled?

No. ID/YMN/202-83/33333.—Whereas the Governor of Haryana is of the opinion that an industrial dispute exists between the workman Shri Jagan Nath and the management of M/s Molian Lal Gulsan Kumar Bhatta Chanderput Yamuna Nagar, regarding the matter hereinafter appearing;

And whereas the Governor of Haryana considers it desirable to refer the dispute for adjudication;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by clause (c) of sub-section (1) of section 10 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947, the Governor of Haryana hereby refers to the Labour Court, Faridabad constituted,—*vide* Government notification No. 11495-G-Lab/57/11245, dated 7th February, 1958 read with notification No. 5414-3Lab-68/15254, dated 20th June, 1968 under section 7 of the said Act, the matter specified below being either matter in dispute or matter relevant to or connected with the dispute as between the said management and workman for adjudication:—

Whether the termination of service of Shri Jagan Nath, was justified and in order? If not, to what relief is he entitled?

No. ID/FD/10-83/33339.—Whereas the Governor of Haryana is of the opinion that an Industrial dispute exists between the workman Shri Mudrika Prashad Yadav, and the management of M/s S. J. Knitting and Finishing Mills (P) Ltd. 13/7, Mathura Road, Faridabad, regarding the matter hereinafter appearing:

And whereas the Governor of Haryana considers it desirable to refer the dispute for adjudication;

Now therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by clause (c) of Sub-Section (1) section 10 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947, the Governor of Haryana hereby refers to the Labour Court, Faridabad constituted,—*vide* Government notification No. 11495-G-Lab/57/11245 dated 7th February, 1958 read with notification No. 5414-3Lab-68/15254, dated 20th June, 1968 under section 7 of the said Act, the matter specified below being either matter in dispute or matter relevant to or connected with the dispute as between the said management and workman for adjudication:—

Whether the termination of service of Shri Mudrika Prashad Yadav, was justified and in order? If not, to what relief is he entitled?

No. ID/GGN/68-83/33347.—Whereas the Governor of Haryana is of the opinion that an industrial dispute exists between the workman Shri Rajinder Singh and the management of M/s. Raj Metals, Delhi Road, Rewari, regarding the matter hereinafter appearing;

And whereas the Governor of Haryana considers it desirable to refer the dispute for adjudication;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by clause (c) of sub-section (1) of section 10 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947, the Governor of Haryana hereby refers to the Labour Court, Faridabad,

constituted.—*vide* Government Notification No. 11495-G-Lab-57/11245, dated 7th February, 1958 read with Notification No. 5414-3Lab-68/15254, dated 20th June, 1968 under section 7 of the said Act, the matter specified below, being either matter in dispute or matter relevant to or connected with the dispute as between the said management and the workman for adjudication:—

Whether the termination of service of Shri Rajinder Singh was justified and in order? If not, to what relief is he entitled?

No. ID/FD/97-83/33353.—Whereas the Governor of Haryana is of the opinion that an industrial dispute exists between the workman Shri Data Ram and the management of M/s Anupma Rubber Private Ltd. 38, Mile Stone Mathura Road, Palwal (Faridabad), regarding the matter hereinafter appearing;

And whereas the Governor of Haryana considers it desirable to refer the dispute for adjudication;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by clause (d) of sub-section (1) of Section 10 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947, the Governor of Haryana hereby refers to the Industrial Tribunal, Haryana, Faridabad constituted under section 7-A, of the said Act, the matter specified below, being either matter in dispute or matter relevant to or connected with the dispute as between the said management and the workmen for adjudication:—

Whether the termination of service of Shri Data Ram was justified and in order? If not, to what relief is he entitled?

No. ID/GGN/49-83/33360.—Whereas the Governor of Haryana is of the opinion that an industrial dispute exists between the workman Shri Rati Ram and the management of M/s Natraj Woollen Mills, Private Ltd., Gurgaon, regarding the matter hereinafter appearing;

And whereas the Governor of Haryana considers it desirable to refer the dispute for adjudication;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by clause (c) of sub-section (i) of section 10 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947, the Governor of Haryana hereby refers to the Labour Court, Faridabad, constituted,—*vide* Government Notification No. 11495-G-Lab/57/11245, dated 7th February, 1958 read with notification No. 5414-3Lab-68/15254, dated 20th June, 1968 under section 7 of the said Act, the matter specified below, being either matter in dispute or matter relevant to or connected with the dispute as between the said management and the workman for adjudication:—

Whether the termination of service of Shri Rati Ram was justified and in order? If not, to what relief is he entitled?

No. ID/YMN/85-83/33367.—Whereas the Governor of Haryana is of the opinion that an industrial dispute exists between the workman Shri Baldev Raj and the management of M/s Chawla Cold Store, Bilaspur Road, Jagadhri, regarding the matter hereinafter appearing;

And whereas the Governor of Haryana considers it desirable to refer the dispute for adjudication;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by clause (c) of sub-section (i) of section 10 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947, the Governor of Haryana hereby refers to the Labour Court, Faridabad, constituted,—*vide* Government notification No. 11495-G-Lab/57/11245, dated 7th February, 1958 read with notification No. 5414-3Lab-68/15254, dated 20th June, 1968, under section 7 of the said Act, the matter specified below being either matter in dispute or matter relevant to or connected with the dispute as between the said management and the workman for adjudication:—

Whether the termination of service of Shri Baldev Raj was justified and in order? If not, to what relief is he entitled?

No. ID/GGN/78-83/33373.—Whereas the Governor of Haryana is of the opinion that an industrial dispute exists between the workman Shri Hans Raj and the management of M/s. H. P. Industries, Delhi Road, Rewari, regarding the matter hereinafter appearing;

And whereas the Governor of Haryana considers it desirable to refer the dispute for adjudication;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by clause (c) of sub-section (i) of section 10 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947, the Governor of Haryana hereby refers to the Labour Court, Faridabad constituted,—*vide* Government notification No. 11495-G-Lab/57/11245, dated 7th February, 1958 read with notification No. 5414-3Lab-68/15254, dated 20th June, 1968 under section 7 of the said Act, the matters specified below being either matter in dispute or matter relevant to or connected with the dispute as between the said management and workman for adjudication:—

Whether the termination of service of Shri Hans Raj was justified and in order? If not, to what relief is he entitled?